million, using the most current (2015) Implicit Price Deflator for the Gross Domestic Product. We do not expect this final rule to result in any 1-year expenditure that would meet or exceed this amount.

We have analyzed this final rule in accordance with the principles set forth in Executive Order 13132. We have determined that the rule does not contain policies that have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, we have concluded that the rule does not contain policies that have federalism implications as defined in the Executive Order and, consequently, a federalism summary impact statement is not required.

This action is not intended to change existing requirements for compliance dates contained in final rules published before January 1, 2017. Therefore, all final rules published by FDA in the Federal Register before January 1, 2017, will still go into effect on the date stated in the respective final rule. We generally encourage industry to comply with new labeling regulations as quickly as feasible, however. Thus, when industry members voluntarily change their labels, it is appropriate that they incorporate any new requirements that have been published as final regulations up to that time.

In rulemaking that began with publication of a proposed rule on April 15, 1996 (61 FR 16422), and ended with a final rule on December 24, 1996, we provided notice and an opportunity for comment on the practice of establishing uniform compliance dates by issuance of a final rule announcing the date. Receiving no comments objecting to this practice, FDA finds any further advance notice and opportunity for comment or delayed effective date unnecessary for establishment of the uniform compliance date. Nonetheless, under 21 CFR 10.40(e)(1), we are providing an opportunity for comment on whether the uniform compliance date established by this final rule should be modified or revoked. Interested parties will have an opportunity to comment on the compliance date for each individual food labeling regulation as part of the rulemaking process for that regulation. The new uniform compliance date will apply only to final FDA food labeling regulations that require changes in the labeling of food products and that incorporate any new requirements that have been put into effect on or after January 1, 2020, as their compliance date. All food products subject to the January 1, 2020, compliance date must comply with the appropriate regulations when initially introduced into interstate commerce on or after January 1, 2020. If any food labeling regulation involves special circumstances that justify a compliance date other than January 1, 2020, we will determine for that regulation an appropriate compliance date, which will be specified when the final regulation is published.

Dated: November 18, 2016.

Leslie Kux,
Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016–28333 Filed 11–23–16; 8:45 am]
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Anchorage Grounds; Delaware Bay and River, Philadelphia, PA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is revising the anchorage regulations for the Delaware Bay and River. The Coast Guard conducted a review of the Delaware Bay and River anchorage grounds to support increased traffic and vessel size. The changes to this regulation will eliminate unusable anchorage grounds and provide additional usable grounds to support current and future port demands and enhance the overall navigation safety of this critical component of the maritime transportation system.

DATES: This rule is effective December 27, 2016.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type USCG–2016–0110 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email Lieutenant Commander Tiffany Johnson, U.S. Coast Guard, Fifth Coast Guard District, Waterways Management Branch, telephone (757) 398–6516, email Tiffany.A.Johnson@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Table ofAbbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Federal Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>Notice of proposed rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTP</td>
<td>Captain of the Port</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Background Information andRegulatory History

On July 15, 2016, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled Anchorage Grounds; Delaware Bay and River, Philadelphia, PA (81 FR 46026). There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to these anchorage grounds for Delaware Bay and River. During the comment period that ended August 15, 2016, we received two comments.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The purpose of this rule is to eliminate unusable anchorage grounds and maximize usable anchorage grounds within the anchorage boundaries while continuing to safely support current and future port demands.

IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes, and the Rule

As noted above, we received two comments on our NPRM published July 15, 2016. One comment was in favor of the proposed changes. The second comment requested that the Coast Guard define the boundaries of the anchorages using coordinates instead of bearings and distances. As a result, the regulatory text of this rule has been changed to use coordinates to define the anchorages covered by this rule, with the exception of the western boundary of Anchorage 12 between Gloucester and Camden, 33 CFR 110.157(a)(13), for which it is impracticable to define using coordinates.

This rule makes changes to seven of the Delaware Bay and River anchorages in order to eliminate unusable anchorage grounds and maximize usable anchorage grounds within the anchorage boundaries while continuing to safely support current and future port demands.

The Delaware Bay and River anchorage grounds are largely used by commercial vessel traffic. General regulations covering the anchorage of vessels in the port are set out in 33 CFR 110.157. In 1992, the Delaware River Main Channel Deepening project was authorized for construction by Public Law 102–580, Section 101 (6) of the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) 1992; modified by Public Law 106–53, Section 308 of WRDA 1999 and further modified by Public Law 106–541, Section 306 of WRDA 2000. This project includes deepening the existing Delaware River Federal Navigation Channel from 40 to 45 feet from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Camden, New Jersey to the mouth of the Delaware Bay. The Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) along with the non-Federal sponsor, the Philadelphia Regional Port Authority (PRPA), commenced dredging for this project in 2010. This project, once completed, will allow for deeper draft vessels within the port and increase overall traffic, and anchorage usage. Due to this anticipated increase in marine traffic a review of the current Delaware Bay and River anchorage grounds was conducted by the Waterways Management Division Sector Delaware Bay, Philadelphia, PA. Upon review, it was determined that multiple anchorage grounds in 33 CFR 110.157 were unusable for some larger vessels due to lack of depth needed to safely anchor. Other anchorage grounds were deemed unusable because they spanned underneath bridges where it would be impractical for vessels to anchor, and posed an increased and unnecessary safety risk of bridge allision.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

This rule is not a significant regulatory action because it will not interfere with existing maritime activity on the Delaware River. Rather, it will enhance safety along the Delaware River by providing safer locations for vessels to anchor, improving navigation safety near bridges and reducing the potential for disruption to maritime traffic by anchored vessels potentially within the federal channel. Vessels may navigate in, around, and through the modified anchorages.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received no comments from the Small Business Administration on this rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The rule may affect owners and operators of vessels wishing to anchor in the Delaware Bay and River anchorages. Boundaries of some of the current anchorages have been modified, reduced, or increased depending on the water depth and relation of the anchor to bridges along the Delaware Bay and River. The impact of the rule will be minimal because the changes increase usable anchorage grounds and enable vessels to safely anchor in the anchorage boundaries.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain.
about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175. Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves establishing, disestablishing, and modifying anchorage grounds. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(f) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

§ 110.27 Delaware Bay and River.

(a) * * *

(2) Anchorage 1 off Bombay Hook Point. On the southwest side of the channel along Liston Range, in the waters bounded by a line connecting the following points:

- Latitude: 39°17′14.0″ N
- Longitude: 075°22′21.0″ W
- Latitude: 39°19′55.2″ N
- Longitude: 075°22′50.5″ W
- Latitude: 39°20′34.1″ N
- Longitude: 075°26′56.8″ W
- Latitude: 39°20′53.5″ N
- Longitude: 075°26′28.0″ W

(DATUM: NAD 83)

* * * * *

(4) Anchorage 3 southeast of Reedy Point. Southeast of the entrance to the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal at Reedy Point, in the waters bounded by a line connecting the following points:

- Latitude: 39°33′09.0″ N
- Longitude: 075°32′38.0″ W
- Latitude: 39°32′34.6″ N
- Longitude: 075°32′38.2″ W
- Latitude: 39°31′29.0″ N
- Longitude: 075°33′01.0″ W
- Latitude: 39°31′31.8″ N
- Longitude: 075°33′16.2″ W
- Latitude: 39°32′14.6″ N
- Longitude: 075°33′08.3″ W
- Latitude: 39°33′09.0″ N
- Longitude: 075°33′10.0″ W

(DATUM: NAD 83)

* * * * *

(7) Anchorage 6 off Deepwater Point. East of the entrance to Christina River, in the waters bounded by a line connecting the following points:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39°43′00.0″ N</td>
<td>075°30′20.0″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39°42′51.5″ N</td>
<td>075°29′44.9″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39°42′05.4″ N</td>
<td>075°30′25.2″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39°41′47.3″ N</td>
<td>075°30′37.5″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39°41′34.7″ N</td>
<td>075°30′39.9″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39°41′36.6″ N</td>
<td>075°30′51.1″ W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(DATUM: NAD 83)
Coast Guard, DHS.

Wilmington, NC. This deviation is necessary to manually operate the bridge and perform emergency bridge repairs. This deviation allows the bridge to remain in the closed-to-navigation position.

DATES: This deviation is effective without actual notice from November 25, 2016 through 6 p.m. on December 9, 2016. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from November 18, 2016 at 3:45 p.m., until November 25, 2016.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this deviation, [USCG–2016–1029] is available at http://www.regulations.gov. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Mr. Hal R. Pitts, Bridge Administration Branch Fifth District, Coast Guard, telephone 757–398–6222, email Hal.R.Pitts@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CSX Corporation, owner and operator of the CSX Hilton Railroad Bridge across the Northeast Cape Fear River, mile 1.5, in Wilmington, NC, has requested a temporary deviation from the current operating regulations due to an electrical casualty to the submarine cable and electrical components caused by Hurricane Matthew. The bridge is limited to manual operation, which requires personnel to manually operate components of the bridge in locations where additional safety measures are required, limiting the bridge to daylight operations. The bridge is a bascule draw bridge and has a vertical clearance in the closed position of 4 feet above mean high water.

The current operating schedule is set out in 33 CFR 117.829(b). Under this temporary deviation, the bridge will remain in the closed-to-navigation position and open on signal during daylight hours, if at least 3 hours notice is given.

The Northeast Cape Fear River is used by a variety of vessels including small commercial fishing vessels, recreational vessels and tugs and barges. The Coast Guard has carefully coordinated the restrictions with waterway users.

Vessels able to safely pass through the bridge in the closed position may do so at any time. The bridge will not be able to open for emergencies and there is no immediate alternate route for vessels to pass. The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterways through our Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operators can arrange their transit to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: November 18, 2016.

Hal R. Pitts, Bridge Program Manager, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2016–28405 Filed 11–23–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Air Plan Approval: AK; Permitting Fees Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking direct final action to approve state implementation plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Alaska (state) Department of Environmental Conservation on February 1, 2016. The revisions implement changes to permit administration and compliance fees based on the state’s fee study results. Changes include: The addition of definitions, restructuring of fee categories, rearranging and renumbering of certain fee rules, and updating cross references to align with the restructured fee rules.

DATES: This rule is effective on January 24, 2017, without further notice, unless the EPA receives adverse comment by December 27, 2016. If the EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2016–0591 at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other

Latitude | Longitude
--- | ---
39°57′04″N | 075°07′57″W
39°56′51″N | 075°08′01″W
39°56′35″N | 075°08′03″W
39°56′02″N | 075°08′02″W
39°55′34″N | 075°07′54″W
39°54′45″N | 075°07′32″W
39°54′33″N | 075°07′32″W
39°54′25″N | 075°07′36″W

([DATUM: NAD 83])

(ii) The area between No. 2 Broadway pier and No. 1 Broadway pier is restricted to facilitate vessel movements. The areas adjacent to working piers are restricted to facilitate the movement of vessels to and from these piers. Should the anchorage become so congested that vessels are compelled to anchor in these restricted areas, they must move immediately when another berth is available.

(14) Anchorage 13 at Camden. East of the channel, north of the Benjamin Franklin Bridge to Cooper Point, Camden, NJ, in the waters bounded by a line connecting the following points:

Latitude | Longitude
--- | ---
39°57′17″N | 075°07′58″W
39°57′22″N | 075°07′55″W
39°57′32″N | 075°07′49″W
39°57′39″N | 075°07′39″W
39°57′34″N | 075°07′34″W
39°57′21″N | 075°07′49″W
39°57′15″N | 075°07′52″W

([DATUM: NAD 83])

Dated: November 7, 2016.

Meredith L. Austin, Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2016–28405 Filed 11–23–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P
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Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2016–1029]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Northeast Cape Fear River, Wilmington, NC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the CSX Hilton Railroad Bridge across the Northeast Cape Fear River, mile 1.5, at